

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/696,187	10/29/2003	Michael D. Blount	BTMD.109950	6540
7590 12/20/2005			EXAMINER	
Marshall S. Honeyman SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. One Kansas City Place 1200 Main Street Kansas City, MO 64105-2118		DEC 3 0 700s	GRAHAM, MARK S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005	
		THORN	DATE WAILED. 12/20/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/696,187	BLOUNT, MICHAEL D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark S. Graham	3711				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 October 2005</u> .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·	·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	., , , , ,				

Application/Control Number: 10/696,187

Art Unit: 3711

Claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 571-272-4410.

MSG 12/12/05

Mark S. Graham

Application/Control Number: 10/696,187

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, line 7, "the bat" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In line 1 of claim 10, "said second portion" lacks proper antecedent basis. For purposes of this action it has been assumed that applicant intended to claim "said second protrusion".

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 3-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sleight.

Regarding claims 3-8 and 12, Sleight's grip comprises the structure claimed and may be used in the claimed manner and therefore anticipates the claim.

Concerning claim 4, Sleight's protrusions as shown in profile in Fig. 5 meet the "rough-diamond shape" limitation given the breadth of that limitation as seen in the shape of applicant's "rough-diamond shape" protrusions.

Concerning claims 9 and 10, the lower portion of Sleight's protrusions point downward at an angle of 0 degrees to the axis of the bat which is an acute angle.

In response to applicant's arguments there is no requirement that Sleight teach that his grip be used as applicant intends and applicant has provided no reasons while it could not be used to form a grip as intended by applicant.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICI

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

02 1A **\$ UU.3/** 0004204479 DEC 20 2005 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY E

USPTO MAIL CENTER

RETURN TO SENDER

Mandall Mandall and Mandall Ma

Organization

P.O. Box 1450